## More Examples on Proof Writing

Here are two more examples of simple proof–writing exercises. We will approach them in the manner of the "Tips on Proof Writing" handout.

**Example 1. Prove:** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and let m > 0 be an integer. Then

$$gcd(ma, mb) = m \cdot gcd(a, b).$$

Let's outline our plan of attack.

- What are we trying to prove? We need to show that  $gcd(ma, mb) = m \cdot gcd(a, b)$ . Our plan will be to show that  $gcd(ma, mb) \le m \cdot gcd(a, b)$ , and that  $m \cdot gcd(ma, mb) \le gcd(ma, mb)$ .
- What are the hypotheses? We are simply given that  $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and that m > 0.
- What theorems or definitions might be useful? We know that d = gcd(a, b) divides a and b, so md divides both ma and mb. Also, Bézout's lemma says that there are integers x and y satisfying

$$ax + by = d$$
,

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$max + mby = md$$

• Put it all together:  $md \mid ma$  and  $md \mid mb \implies md$  is a (positive) common divisor of  $ma, mb \implies md \leq \gcd(ma, mb)$ . Also,

$$max + mby = md \implies gcd(ma, mb) \mid md$$
,

so  $gcd(ma, mb) \le md$ . Thus  $gcd(ma, mb) = m \cdot gcd(a, b)$ .

Now we'll write it up.

*Proof.* Let d = gcd(a, b). Since d divides both a and b, md divides both ma and mb. Since m > 0, md is a positive common divisor of ma and mb, so it must be smaller than the greatest common divisor. That is,  $md \leq \text{gcd}(ma, mb)$ . Also, Bézout's lemma implies that there are integers x and y satisfying

ax + by = d.

Multiplying both sides by m, we get

$$max + mby = md$$
.

Since gcd(ma, mb) divides both ma and mb, it divides the left side of this equation. Thus gcd(ma, mb) divides md, so we must have

 $gcd(ma, mb) \leq md.$ 

Therefore,  $md = \gcd(ma, mb)$ , or  $m \cdot \gcd(a, b) = \gcd(ma, mb)$ .

## Example 2. Prove: The equation

$$ax + by = c$$

has integer solutions x and y if and only if gcd(a, b) divides c. There are two directions here, so we need to handle them one at a time.

- For the first direction, what are we being asked to prove? We need to show that gcd(a, b) divides c.
- What are the hypotheses? We are given that there are integers x and y such that ax + by = c.
- What theorems or definitions might be useful? We'll use the definition of the greatest common divisor, namely that it dives a and b. If we let d = gcd(a, b), we can write

$$a = ed$$
 and  $b = fd$ 

for some integers e and f.

• Now let's put it together.

$$a = ed$$
 and  $b = fd \implies c = ax + by = edx + fdy$   
 $\implies c = d(ex + fy)$   
 $\implies d \text{ divides } c$ 

- What do we need to do for the other direction? We assume that gcd(a, b) divides c, and we show that ax + by = c has integer solutions.
- What can we use? First, if d = gcd(a, b) divides c, we can write c = kd for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Second, we have Bézout's lemma: there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax_0 + by_0 = d.$$

• Now put it together:

$$ax_0 + by_0 = d \implies kax_0 + kby_0 = kd = c$$
  
 $\implies a(kx_0) + b(ky_0) = c$ 

so we can take  $x = kx_0$  and  $y = ky_0$ .

Now we'll try to write it up nicely.

*Proof.* Suppose first that there are integers  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that ax + by = c. Let d = gcd(a, b). Since d divides both a and b, there are integers  $e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = ed and b = fd. Then

$$ax + by = edx + fdy = d(ex + fy).$$

But ax + by = c, so

$$c = d(ex + fy),$$

and d divides c.

Conversely, suppose that d divides c. Then there is an integer k satisfying c = kd. By Bézout's lemma, there exist  $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax_0 + by_0 = d.$$

Thus

$$k(ax_0 + by_0) = kd,$$

or

$$a(kx_0) + b(ky_0) = c.$$

If we set  $x = kx_0$  and  $y = ky_0$ , then ax + by = c, so we are done.